

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS OF DEPARTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,787	12/01/2003	Gianluca Paladini	2002P19673 US01	1913
7590 12/11/2007 Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			RICHER, AARON M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
180111, 143 0003			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,787	PALADINI, GIANLUCA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aaron M. Richer	2628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL!  Extrasions of lime may be available under the provisions of 37 in 1 km and	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a jon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO v statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for a					
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	cation.	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.				
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>					
6) Claim(s) 1-7,9,11-20,22 and 24-27 is/are					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8.10,21 and 23</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner, Note the attache	ed Office Action of John F10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority doc</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc					
3. Copies of the certified copies of th		n received in this National Stage			
application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action fo		t received			
See the attached detailed Shice action to	a not or the octanion copies no				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Ninformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1204	o) Other:	<u> </u>			

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- Claims 1, 3, 5, 11, 14-16, 18, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halmann (U.S. Patent 6,526,163).
- As to claim 1, Halmann discloses a system for scan converting ultrasound data from an acquisition format to a display format, the system comprising:

a look-up table having values corresponding to a spatial conversion from the display format to the acquisition format (col. 7, lines 54-57; a number of scan conversion tables are generated);

and a processor operable to identify acquired ultrasound data as a function of the values and operable to interpolate display values from the identified acquired ultrasound data (col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4).

4. As to claim 3, Halmann discloses a system wherein the processor is operable to determine display coordinates of interest (col. 8, lines 4-9; an area of interest is defined and polar coordinates are defined from this area) and identify the acquired ultrasound data by inputting the display coordinates of interest into the look-up table (col. 7, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/724.787

Art Unit: 2628

col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4; the process of scan conversion finds ultrasound data coordinates for display coordinates by converting from polar to Cartesian).

5. As to claim 5, Halmann discloses a system wherein the acquired ultrasound data represents a volume in the acquisition format, wherein the processor is operable to determine display coordinates for a plurality of rays through the volume as the display coordinates of interest (col. 5, lines 35-40; a volume rendering/raycasting module produces an image for display, which must include determination of display coordinates);

further comprising a display operable to display a two-dimensional image of a Volume Rendering of at least a portion of the volume in the display format with the display values (fig. 1, element 16; col. 5, lines 35-40).

- 6. As to claim 11, Halmann discloses a system wherein the processor comprises a graphics processing unit (col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4; Halmann discloses a number of CPUs set up for scan conversion; since this is a graphics operation, the CPUs read on graphic processing units).
- As to claim 14, Halmann discloses a method for scan conversion of ultrasound data from an acquisition format to a display format, the method comprising:
- (a) identifying acquisition format coordinates with display format coordinates indexed to a look-up table (col. 8, lines 3-9; col. 7, lines 54-57; polar coordinates are acquired and changed to display, or Cartesian, coordinates via a lookup table);

Application/Control Number: 10/724.787 Art Unit: 2628

- (b) interpolating acquisition format coordinates stored in the look-up table (col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4);
- and (c) interpolating display values from acquired ultrasound data based on the acquisition format coordinates determined in (b) (col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4; interpolation takes place to map the acquisition, or polar coordinates, to display, or Cartesian coordinates).
- 8. As to claim 15, Halmann discloses a method wherein (a) comprises: (a1) inputting Cartesian coordinates into the look-up table: and (a2) outputting Polar coordinates interpolated from the look-up table in response to (a1) (col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 8. line 52-col. 9. line 4: the process of scan conversion involves a polar to Cartesian conversion via lookup table and interpolation).
- 9. As to claim 16, see the rejection to claim 3.
- 10. As to claim 18, see the rejection to claim 5.

that the mode determines acquisition parameters).

11.

- As to claim 24, Halmann discloses generating the look-up table as a function of a spatial relationship of a display format with user configured acquisition parameters (col. 7, lines 54-59; tables generated are dependent on a selected mode of operation; col. 3, lines 59-62 states that this mode is determined by a user and col. 5. line 51-58 states
- 12. As to claim 26. Halmann discloses a system wherein (d) comprises generating a two-dimensional look-up table with acquisition format coordinates for each coordinate of a Cartesian volume (col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 8, line 52-col. 9, line 4; a lookup table for

Application/Control Number: 10/724,787
Art Unit: 2628

Cartesian coordinates would have to use at least x and y coordinates, inherently making it a 2-dimensional lookup table).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be necatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halmann in view of Zar ("A Scan Conversion Engine for Standard B-Mode Ultrasonic Imaging").
- 15. As to claim 2, Halmann discloses values comprising polar coordinates and lookup table entries indexed by Cartesian coordinates (col. 7, lines 54-57; col. 7, lines 54-57), but does not expressly disclose a processor operable to bilinearly interpolate from the look-up table values using fractional offsets of Cartesian coordinates. Zar, however, discloses a bilinear interpolation using fraction offsets of Cartesian coordinates (p. 1, Introduction) to be able to convert to polar using a lookup table (p. 2, LUTs and Constant LUTs sections). The motivation for using this system is to accomplish scan conversion at a very low cost (p. 1, Abstract). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use bilinear interpolation and LUTs to convert polar to Cartesian coordinates in order to reduce cost as taught by Zar.
- Claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halmann in view of Hossack (U.S. Patent 6,352,511).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,787
Art Unit: 2628

- 17. As to claim 4, Halmann discloses a system wherein the acquired ultrasound data represents a volume in the acquisition format (col. 5, lines 35-40) and also a system comprising a display operable to display a two-dimensional image representing the plane in the display format with the display values (fig. 1, element 16). Halmann does not disclose a system wherein the processor is operable to determine display coordinates for a plane through the volume as the display coordinates of interest. Hossack, however, discloses a system that allows for display of an arbitrary 2-dimensional plane through a 3-dimensional volume (col. 17, lines 4-11). The motivation for this is to allow the ultrasound image to better act as a diagnostic aid (col. 16, lines 50-57). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Halmann to determine display coordinates for a plane through a volume in order to better diagnose a patient as taught by Hossack.
- 18. As to claim 17, see the rejection to claim 14. Hossack further discloses displaying a two-dimensional MPR image representing the plane in the display format as a function of the display values (col. 17, lines 4-11).
- Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Halmann in view of Okerlund (U.S. Patent 6,690,371)
- 20. As to claim 6, Halmann does not disclose a system wherein each of the display values is a function of an alpha blending of a plurality of acquired ultrasound data values and wherein the processor is operable to limit a number of acquired ultrasound data values blended as a function of a threshold such that scan conversion of other acquired ultrasound data values is avoided. Okerlund, however, discloses alpha

Application/Control Number: 10/724,787

Art Unit: 2628

blending ultrasound data values (col. 7, lines 4-19; RGBA values are used to blend), and limiting the number of values blended to a "decimated" volume (fig, 13; col. 11, lines 8-35) with a threshold of less than a full volume. The motivation for this is to more rapidly render an image volume (col. 11, lines 8-10). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Halmann to use a threshold to ensure only some ultrasound data is blended in order to reduce time taken to display as taught by Okerlund.

- 21. As to claim 19, see the rejection to claim 6.
- 22. Claims 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halmann in view of Drebin (U.S. Patent 4,835,712).
- 23. As to claim 7, Halmann does not disclose a system comprising an RGBA look-up table addressed by the display values, the RGBA look-up table operable to output an RGBA value corresponding to the display value. Drebin, however, discloses a system that inputs monochrome display values to a lookup table and outputs RGBA values for those values (col. 7, lines 44-62). The motivation for this is to simulate an image illuminated by one or more sources of light (col. 2, lines 4-24). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Halmann to use a lookup table to convert between display values and RGBA values in order to simulate an image illuminated by one or more sources of light as taught by Drebin.
- 24. As to claim 20, see the rejection to claim 7.
- Claims 9 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halmann in view of Swerdloff (U.S. Patent 5,483,567).

Application/Control Number: 10/724 787

Art Unit: 2628

- 26. As to claim 9, Halmann does not disclose a system wherein the look-up table values correspond to the spatial conversion from the display format to the acquisition format for at least one acquisition plane; further comprising an additional look-up table corresponding to spatial conversion from the display format to the acquisition format across multiple acquisition planes. Swerdloff, however, discloses a system wherein a change in relationship between polar and Cartesian voxels, such as a change when changing an acquisition plane, necessitates creation of another lookup table (col. 9, lines 6-25). This is motivated by the fact that the current lookup table will no longer be accurate (col. 9, lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Halmann to use an additional lookup table when multiple acquisition planes are used in order to have an accurate lookup table as taught by Swerdloff.
- 27. As to claim 22, see the rejection to claim 9.
- 28. Claims 12, 13, and 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halmann.
- 29. As to claim 12, Halmann does not disclose a system wherein the look-up table values each comprise a set of two fixed-point values, one Boolean Flag, and one Integer Sum, the two fixed-point values being Polar coordinates. These, however, are all arbitrary classes of variables and there is no disclosed criticality to them in applicant's specification. The choosing of these particular classes of variables appears to be a matter of design choice. One skilled in the art would expect the invention of Halmann to work equally well with various other types of variables, such as integers, floating point variables, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,787

Art Unit: 2628

- 30. As to claim 13, Halmann does not expressly disclose a system wherein a Boolean Flag indicates whether the set corresponds to a location outside of a scanned region. However, Official Notice has been taken of the fact that setting a variable for when data is in or out of a range is well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Halmann to set a variable when data is out of range in order to communicate this error to other parts of a computing system.
- 31. As to claim 25, see the rejection to claim 13.
- Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Halmann in view of Edic (U.S. Publication 2004/0136490).
- 33. As to claim 27, Halmann does not disclose a method further comprising: (d)
  Volume Rendering as a function of the display values as a function of time. Edic,
  however, discloses a method of volume rendering in which the motion of a volume over
  time is depicted (p. 4-5, section 0045). The motivation for this is to represent a cycle,
  such as a cardiac cycle (p. 4-5, section 0045). It would have been obvious to one
  skilled in the art to modify Halmann to volume render using display values as a function
  of time in order to represent a cardiac cycle as taught by Edic.

### Conclusion

34. Claims 8, 10, 21, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10//24,/8/ Art Unit: 2628

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system. call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 12/10/07

KEE M. TUNG / SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER